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Abstract

Objective: To prospectively evaluate sonographic features of a normal appendix and to determine frequency with which normal appendix can be visualized in an Emergency Department in the paediatric age group using commercially available 3.75 MHz probe with a view to confidently differentiate normal from inflamed appendix, a decision of great importance whereby an unnecessary appendectomy can be avoided in a good number of cases. Material and Methods: A total of 300 consecutive children (140 males and 160 females, median age 10.2 years, range 8-14 years) without clinical signs of acute appendicitis were examined in the Emergency Department of Lahore General Hospital, Lahore by transabdominal sonography using commercially available 3.75 MHz curved array probe. The detection rate, outer appendiceal diameter, appendiceal intraluminal contents and peri-appendiceal tissues were evaluated. Results: Normal appendix could be visualized in 58 out of 300 (19.3%) patients. In the remaining 242 it could not be visualized in the set time of 5 minutes. Mean outer appendiceal diameter was noted to be 4.27 mm (range 3.0 mm to 6.3 mm). In 53 of 58 (91%) patients with depicted appendices, the appendix was found to be in classical location whereas it was retrocecal in location in the remaining 5 (9%) patients. In all the cases the appendix was noted to be easily compressible and in 43 out of 58 (74%) normal appendices, the lumen was noted to be filled with fluid. It was noted to be empty in 18 out of 58 (32%) patients whereas air could be detected in 2 (4%) patients. No change was noted in the sonographic appearance of periappendiceal soft tissues. Mildly enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes in the right iliac fossa were seen in just 3 (5%) patients. None of the evaluated normal children showed localized fluid collection. Conclusion: Normal appendix was visualized in 19.3% of the normal children using commercially available 3.75 MHz probe which is usually a standard probe supplied with all commonly available ultrasound machines. The detection rate is towards the lower side of the results claimed in recent studies using high frequency linear probes and utilizing Tissue Harmonic Imaging (THI) technique.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis may occur at any age, although it is relatively rare at the extremes of age. The maximum incidence of the disease occurs in the 2nd decade; thereafter, disease incidence declines with age. Ultrasonography is a widely available and inexpensive modality with the potential for highly accurate imaging in the patient suspected to have acute appendicitis. Although operator skill is an important factor in all ultrasonography examinations, it has particular importance in the examination of the patient with right-lower-quadrant pain.

Transabdominal sonography has been performed as an imaging modality in patients with suspected appendicitis as this modality can rapidly help distinguish patients with appendicitis from those with normal appendix. After the introduction of sonography in medical practice, Puylaert was the first to use sonography in 1986 for detection of inflamed appendix by developing and promoting a special technique called graded compression technique. At that time, in the presence of
supporting clinical findings, a diagnosis of acute appendicitis was offered if appendicol outer diameter exceeded 6 mm. This remained the only sonographic criterion of acute appendicitis for a short time, however, with the improvement in ultrasound technology and development of new techniques of imaging especially Tissue Harmonic Imaging (THI), it became possible to visualize even normal appendix. Rapid expansion of knowledge and experience to detect the normal appendix has helped the radiologists to differentiate normal from abnormal appendix.

In Pakistan, paediatric population constitutes nearly 40% of the total population. Most of the criteria of normal appendix have been investigated in adult population only but there has been little information available on the sonographic visualization of the normal paediatric appendix in large series of asymptomatic subjects. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the frequency of depiction of normal appendix in asymptomatic children and to evaluate the ultrasound appearance of normal appendix.

The reason for this comparative study was the appreciation by the author that every radiologist should be well versant with normal sonographic appearance of the appendix so that he can confidently differentiate normal from inflamed appendix, a decision of great importance in any emergency department whereby an unnecessary appendectomy can be avoided in a good number of cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During a period of 15 months from May 2007 to August 2008, all asymptomatic children aged 4 – 15 years referred to the Emergency Radiology Department of the Lahore General Hospital were specifically examined with a view to localize the normal appendix by the author having more than 13 years post fellowship experience in Radiology. Children with abdominal pain, pain in right iliac fossa and those with appendectomy were excluded from the study.

As in Emergency Radiology Department, we have Toshiba ultrasound equipment (Famio 5, Toshiba Medical Systems, Japan) with standard 3.75MHz probe, a study was planned to compare the detection rate of normal appendix with the previously studied appendiceal detection rates using high frequency linear probes as well as THI.

300 consecutive children (140 males, 160 females, median age 10.3 years, aged between 8 to 14 years) without clinical suspicion of acute appendicitis were evaluated. The patients were examined when their bladders were adequately distended. Localization of appendix started with a transverse axial scan showing right major psoas major muscle and iliac vessels and using this image as a guide, appendices were traced at the location of ileocecal valve and distal border of the ileum. Normal appendix is usually localized between these structures and abdominal wall (Fig. 1)

Transverse and longitudinal images of appendix were obtained and the study was found to be negative if the appendix could not be visualized in the set time of 5 minutes. An attempt was made to define the position of the appendix whether classical or retrocecal. The normal classical appendix was the one noted in front of the iliac vessels and pointing towards the pelvis or lying above the colon in the paracolic gutter. Outer appendixal diameter was measured in the transverse plane of the appendix at the point of maximum diameter. Intraluminal contents were specifically evaluated with a view to assess whether the lumen was empty or filled with gas or fecal material. Periappendiceal soft tissues were considered inflamed when they presented as hyperechoic, diffused, smudgy, ill defined mass surrounding an enlarged appendix. Attention was also directed towards careful detection of localized fluid collection in right iliac fossa.

The normal appendix appears as a blind ending loop with the wall showing varying echogenicity concentric circles of intima, media and tunica without demonstrable peristaltic activity. Its outer diameter is less than or equal to 5 mm in children and a normal appendix is easily compressible under the probe.

Technique

An excellent routine for the actual ultrasonographic examination of the right lower quadrant is to scan in the transverse plane by starting from the tip of the liver and proceeding to
the pelvic brim. Several sweeps from the lateral aspect to the medial aspect may be necessary. The ascending colon usually is appreciated by its gas content and haustral pattern. In the location of the cecum, careful attention should be paid to inflammatory changes in the perirectal fat and the appendix itself. Sagittal and oblique images should then be obtained until the entire region of interest has been scanned. Detailed images are obtained of the appendix, if it is seen. The course of the appendix is variable and includes both retrocecal and pelvic locations. The appendix in the former location often is best appreciated on scans obtained with the transducer positioned adjacent to the cecum or to the ascending colon, with an oblique plane of insonation. The pelvic appendix, in comparison, often is best seen in women with endovaginal scanning. Different degrees of bladder filling also will influence the ability to see a pelvic appendix.

RESULTS

Normal appendix could be visualized in 58 out of 300 (19.3%) normal children, a detection rate on the lower side of the data being claimed in the current literature. A great variation in the outer appendiceal diameter was noted ranging from 3.0 mm to 6.3 mm with the mean diameter of 4.27 mm. All normal appendices appeared as a blind ending loop having lamellated appearance with relatively sharply defined borders and having uniform diameter throughout their lengths. None of the normal appendices appeared ballooned up or ovoid in configuration. Essentially a normal appendix was noted to be easily compressible and showed significant reduction in anteroposterior dimension when compressed by overlying ultrasound probe. None of these 58 normal children showed an appendicolith, localized right iliac fossa fluid collection or hyperechoic inflamed periappendiceal fat. Contents of the appendiceal lumen were noted to be fluid in 43 out of 58 (74%) of the cases, air bubbles were detected within the lumen in 2 (4%) patients whereas lumen was noted to be empty in the remaining 13 of 58 (22%) patients. While evaluating surrounding soft tissues, mildly enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes ranging in size from 5 to 8 mm were detected in only 3 (5%) patients.

DISCUSSION

The adult appendix is a long diverticulum averaging 10 cm in length that arises from the posteromedial wall of the cecum, approximately 3 cm below the ileocecal valve. Although the relationship of the base of the appendix to the cecum essentially is constant, the remainder of the appendix is free, which accounts for its variable location in the abdominal cavity. The appendix may lie in a retrocecal, subcecal, retroileal, preileal, or pelvic site. This variability in location may greatly influence the clinical presentation in patients with appendicitis.

The normal appendix is seen infrequently at US, although it may be seen, particularly in thin patients, with excellent-quality examinations. The normal appendix appears as a blind ending loop with the wall showing varying echogenicity concentric circles of intima, media and tunica without demonstrable peristaltic activity. Its outer diameter is less than or equal to 5 mm in children and a normal appendix is easily compressible under the probe. Rioux described the visualization of the normal appendix in an amazing 82% of scanned normal adults without acute appendicitis. In the experience of Birnbaum, Wilson and Puylaert, this number usually is substantially lower, 0%-4%, in the adult population, regardless of technique.

Although appendicitis may be ruled out if the appearance of the appendix is normal on ultrasonography, a normal appendix is seen in less than 5 percent of patients. Most physicians hesitate to make clinical decisions about appendicitis when the appendix itself is not seen on imaging studies. Therefore, the failure to see the appendix, whether it is diseased or normal, fundamentally limits the usefulness of ultrasonography for the diagnosis of appendix.

Simonovsky claimed a detection rate of normal appendix in 49% of the examined asymptomatic patients but the patients had very wide age variation from 1 to 84 years. However he focused mainly on the appendiceal mural thickness variation, a criterion not included in the present study because such measurements generally require high frequency probes. Similarly, other studies have used colour Doppler sonography to differentiate
normal from abnormal appendix by evaluating the changes in the colour flow. Of course non availability of such equipment in Emergency Radiology Department forbade the author from using this criterion. In many of the studies, Body Mass Index (BMI) has also been included in the statistical analysis of detection rate of normal appendix by sonography because people of all age groups were included varying in physique from thin lean to obese ones. However the present study was focused solely on children and in Pakistan childhood obesity is rarely observed therefore inclusion of BMI was found unnecessary. Rettenbacher\(^\text{15}\) reported a detection rate of 68% in asymptomatic patients. Wiersma et al\(^\text{16}\) found that in paediatric subjects, normal appendix was depicted more frequently than adults possibly because of less attenuation of ultrasonic beam by subcutaneous fat and muscle which are thinner in paediatric subjects. The author chose to measure outer appendiceal diameter rather than appendiceal wall thickness as most of the studies have used the same criterion and hence a more effective comparison could be made\(^\text{17}\). Gas in the appendiceal lumen was revealed in 75% of the cases and in upto 86% for a controlled group in another study\(^\text{18}\) however the author found significant difference in this finding by demonstrating air in just 2 out of 58 (4%) of the children.

Though resolution of the body surface is superior to that of the conventional sonography in THI, it has been reported that a low frequency convex transducer is preferable when searching for a normal appendix in a deep location or in obese patients\(^\text{19}\).

The author believes that there were some obvious limitations in the study design i.e. pathological evidence of a normal appendix was never obtained for obvious reasons and secondly absence of CT examination or surgery in all these cases did not confirm the actual location of the appendix as suspected on sonography. Another
reason of failure to detect normal appendix in children seems to be its retrocecal location which, according to Ceres et al., is found in 28% of the examined children. In the present study only 5 of 58 (9%) children had a retrocecal appendix. As against visualization of mesenteric lymph nodes in almost 53% of the children in a study conducted by Wiersema, presence of such lymph nodes was noted in just 3 (5%) children. In the present study, this significant difference needs to be explored in more elaborate and extensive study designs. May be racial or genetic factors are the underlying cause of low percentage of visualization of enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes though presence of mesenteric lymph nodes smaller than 10mm in an asymptomatic child is a non specific finding.

CONCLUSIONS

The sonographic detection rate of 19.3% achieved in this study falls within a highly variable detection rate of 4% to 95% quoted in current literature. The author believes that a lower detection rate is primarily due to the use of low frequency convex probe as against high frequency linear probes employed in those studies showing detection rate of more than 80% in normal children. A set time of 5 minutes, if extended up to 15 minutes as exercised in other studies, could possibly have improved the detection rate. Conduction of this study in an Emergency Department, where patient load in too much, could have also contributed to the lower percentage of visualization of appendix. The author believes that overcoming the above mentioned difficulties and adverse conditions may lead to increased frequency with which the normal appendix can be visualized. It is also desired that every radiologist should keep practicing to look for appendix so that he is able to differentiate a normal appendix from an early inflamed appendix, a decision of great significance in emergency situation, particularly in patients with pain in right iliac fossa.
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